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A three-dimensional lattice model is proposed for which the constant $\lambda$ occurring in the dimer problem can be evaluated exactly.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

The three-dimensional dimer problem, one of the classical unsolved problems of lattice statistics, can be formulated as follows. An $N$-brick is a three-dimensional parallelopiped of volume $N$ with sides whose lengths $l_{1}$, $l_{2}, l_{3}$, are integers. A dimer is a 2 -brick. The problem is to determine the number of ways of dissecting an $N$-brick into dimers. Denote this number by $f_{l}$, where $l=\left(l_{1}, l_{2}, l_{3}\right)$. It is known ${ }^{(1)}$ that if $l_{i} \rightarrow \infty(i=1,2,3)$, then $N^{-1} \ln f_{l}$ tends to a finite limit $\lambda$. The exact value of $\lambda$ is not known.

The early paper by Fowler and Rushbrooke ${ }^{(2)}$ gave the estimate $\lambda=0.43$ together with the rigorous bounds

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leqslant \lambda \leqslant \frac{1}{2} \ln 3 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the number of ways of dissecting an $N$-brick is a nondecreasing function of the dimensionality, the exact solution of the two-dimensional dimer problem ${ }^{(3,4)}$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
G / \pi \leqslant \lambda \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G=0.915965 \ldots$ (Catalan's constant).

[^0]In 1968 Hammersley ${ }^{(5)}$ obtained the lower bound

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{4 \pi^{3}} \int_{0}^{\pi} d \theta_{1} \int_{0}^{\pi} d \theta_{2} \int_{0}^{\pi} d \theta_{3} \ln \left(\sum_{i=1}^{3} \sin ^{2} \theta_{i}\right) \leqslant \lambda \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In 1978 Minc $^{(6)}$ improved the Fowler-Rushbrooke upper bound (1):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda \leqslant \frac{1}{12} \ln 6! \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, from (3) and (4) we have

$$
0.418347 \leqslant \lambda \leqslant 0.548270
$$

In this paper the three-dimensional lattice model is proposed for which the exact value of $\lambda$ is equal to the Hammersley lower bound. In the next paper (Part II) we shall use the proposed model to obtain an improved lower bound for the three-dimensional dimer problem.

## 2. MODEL

The $N$-brick is the union of $N$ unit cubes; let $L$ be the lattice consisting of centers of these cubes. We denote the lattice points by $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$, $0 \leqslant x_{i} \leqslant l_{i}(i=1,2,3)$. By reduced coordinates of the point $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$ we understand the integers $\left[k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}\right.$ ] defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{i}=x_{i}(\bmod 2) \quad(i=1,2,3) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We say that the set of lattice points having reduced coordinates $[0,0,0]$ is a sublattice $A_{0}$, and the set of lattice points having reduced coordinates [ $1,1,1]$ is a sublattice $B_{0}$. Consider an arbitrary dissection of the $N$-brick. Let $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$ be a pair of neighboring points of the sublattice $A_{0}$. We say that for any pair $p_{1} p_{2}$ a given dissection generates a path from $p_{1}$ to $p_{2}$ if the dimer containing $p_{1}$ adjoins the unit cube containing $p_{2}$. A collection of paths of the form $p_{1} p_{2}, p_{2} p_{3}, \ldots, p_{n-1} p_{n}$ is a path from $p_{1}$ to $p_{n}$.

If in the collection of paths $p_{1} p_{2}, p_{2} p_{3}, \ldots, p_{n-1} p_{n}$ the point $p_{1}$ coincides with the point $p_{n}$, the path $p_{1} p_{n}$ is closed. Similarly, we define a path on the sublattice $B_{0}$.

Now, we can define our model as follows. Consider all dissections of the $N$-brick generating no closed path on the sublattices $A_{0}$ and $B_{0}$. Denote the number of such dissections by $f_{l}^{*}$. The problem consists in finding a limit

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda^{*}=\lim _{l_{i} \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \ln f_{l}^{*} \quad(i=1,2,3) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the next section we show that in order to evaluate $\lambda^{*}$, it is sufficient to enumerate dimer configurations containing points of one sublattice only. In Section 4 we shall reduce this problem to the solved problem concerning a number of random walks returning to the original point.

## 3. FACTORIZATION OF $\boldsymbol{f}_{i}^{*}$

The sublattices $A_{0}$ and $B_{0}$ contain $N / 4$ points of the lattice $L$. We divide the remainder of lattice points ( $3 \mathrm{~N} / 4$ points) into two sets $A_{1}$ and $B_{1}$. A sublattice $A_{1}$ is the set of points with reduced coordinates $[1,0,0]$ or [ $0,1,0]$ or $[0,0,1]$; a sublattice $B_{1}$ is the set of points with reduced coordinates $[1,1,0]$ or $[1,0,1]$ or $[0,1,1]$.

In every dissection of the $N$-brick there exist dimers of three sorts: (i) dimers containing points of the set $A_{0}$; (ii) dimers containing points of the set $B_{0}$; (iii) dimers containing none of the points of the sets $A_{0}$ and $B_{0}$.

Let us try to dissect the $N$-brick into dimers in the following manner. First we eliminate from the $N$-brick the dimers of the sort (i) until in the $N$-brick there remain no points of the sublattice $A_{0}$. Then we eliminate the dimers of the sort (ii) until in the $N$-brick there remain no points of the sublattice $B_{0}$. These procedures are independent because any dimer of the sort (i) intersects none of dimers of the sort (ii). The question arises whether one can always dissect the remaining volume into dimers. The situation here is described by the following theorem.

Theorem 1. Let $D\left(A_{0}\right)$ and $D\left(B_{0}\right)$ be configurations of dimers of the sort (i) and (ii) that contain all points of the sublattices $A_{0}$ and $B_{0}$ and do not generate a single closed path. Then, the volume resulting from elimination of all dimers belonging to $D\left(A_{0}\right)$ and $D\left(B_{0}\right)$ out of the $N$-brick can be dissected into dimers in the unique manner.

Before proceeding to prove Theorem 1 we shall point out its corollary.
The numbers of all possible configurations $D\left(A_{0}\right)$ and $D\left(B_{0}\right)$ are obviously equal. Denote them by $\varphi_{l}$. It follows from Theorem 1 that the required number of dissections of the $N$-brick is

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{l}^{*}=\left(\varphi_{l}\right)^{2} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $D\left(A_{0}\right)$ and $D\left(B_{0}\right)$ be two arbitrary dimer configurations satisfying the requirements of Theorem 1. Consider a volume $V$ resulting from the elimination of all dimers belonging to $D\left(A_{0}\right)$ and $D\left(B_{0}\right)$ out of the $N$-brick. Denote by $L_{V}$ the subset of the lattice points belonging to $V$. We denote by $G$ the graph whose vertices are the elements $L_{V}$ and whose arcs are the unit segments that join all adjacent vertices. As usual, we call the number of arcs incident to the given vertex $p$ the degree of this vertex (denoted by $\operatorname{deg} p$ ). Every vertex of $G$ belongs to the sublattice $A_{1}$ or to the sublattice $B_{1}$. Nearest neighbors of a vertex belonging to $A_{1}\left(B_{1}\right)$ are vertices belonging to $B_{1}\left(A_{1}\right)$.

Let $a_{1} \in A_{1}$ be a vertex of $G$. If $\operatorname{deg} a_{1}>2$, eliminate from $G$ all arcs incident to $a_{1}$ except for two arbitrarily chosen arcs $r_{1}$ and $r_{1}^{\prime}$. From the remaining graph choose the connected component $G\left(a_{1} ; r_{1}, r_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ containing
the vertex $a_{1}$. Further, let $a_{2} \in A_{1}$ be some vertex of $G\left(a_{1} ; r_{1}, r_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ having a common adjacent vertex $b \in B_{1}$ with $a_{1}$. If $\operatorname{deg} a_{2}>2$, choose again two arcs $r_{2}$ and $r_{2}^{\prime}$ from arcs incident to $a_{2}$ and eliminate remaining ones. The connected component of the resulting graph containing $a_{1}, a_{2}$ is the graph $G\left(a_{1} ; r_{1}, r_{1}^{\prime} \mid a_{2} ; r_{2}, r_{2}^{\prime}\right)$. Proceeding with this procedure and choosing at an $i$ th step a vertex $a_{i} \in A_{1}$ having a common adjacent vertex with at least one of the sites $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{i-1}$, we obtain the subgraph $G\left(a_{1} ; r_{1}, r_{1}^{\prime}|\cdots| a_{k}\right.$; $\left.r_{k}, r_{k}^{\prime}\right)$ of the graph $G$ containing none of the vertices $a_{j} \in A_{1}$ with $\operatorname{deg} a_{j}$ $>2$. We say that this subgraph is an $A_{1}$ subgraph. If $G$ has no vertices $a_{j} \in A_{1}$ with $\operatorname{deg} a_{j}>2$, it coincides with its $A_{1}$ subgraph.

Similarly, we construct a $B_{1}$ subgraph. The proof of Theorem 1 is based on the following lemma:

Lemma. Every $A_{1}$ subgraph of $G$ contains at least one vertex $a \in A_{1}$ with $\operatorname{deg} a=1$ and every $B_{1}$ subgraph of $G$ contains at least one vertex $b \in B_{I}$ with $\operatorname{deg} b=1$.

Proof. Suppose the contrary, i.e., there exists an $A_{1}$ subgraph of $G$ such that all its vertices $a_{i}$ belonging to $A_{1}$ have $\operatorname{deg} a_{i}=2$. For every vertex $b_{i} \in B_{1}$ of this $A_{1}$ subgraph we build a $2 \times 2$ square (plaquette) with the center in $b_{i}$ and the vertices belonging to the sublattice $A_{0}$ (except those which do not belong to the $N$ brick). A side of each plaquette either is occupied by the vertex $a_{j}$ of the $A_{1}$ subgraph ( $a_{j} \in A_{1}, \operatorname{deg} a_{j}=2$ ) or is free, i.e., does not contain vertices of the $A_{1}$ subgraph. In the first case this side is common for two or more adjacent plaquettes.

A collection of plaquettes cannot encompass any part $V^{\prime}$ of volume $V$ since otherwise the configuration $D\left(B_{0}\right)$ generates paths enclosed in $V^{\prime}$. These paths have no end points (only one path emanates from every point of sublattice $B_{0}$ enclosed in $V^{\prime}$ ) and therefore contain at least one return point. We arrive at a contradiction with the condition of Theorem 1.

If the collection of plaquettes does not encompass a closed volume, there exists at least one free closed boundary, i.e., a sequence of plaquette sides not containing points of the $A_{1}$ subgraph and forming a closed contour $\Gamma$.

A side of a plaquette cannot be occupied by a vertex belonging to $G$ and not belonging to the $A_{1}$ subgraph. Indeed by definition of $G$ there would be an arc connecting this vertex with the center of the plaquette and, by construction of the $A_{1}$ subgraph, only those arcs are eliminated from $G$ which are incident to the vertices of the $A_{1}$ subgraph.

Let $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \ldots, \gamma_{2 n-1}, \gamma_{2 n}$ be a sequence of lattice points belonging to $\Gamma$ such that any two successive points in the sequence are neighbors. The first and last points of this sequence are neighbors, too. If point $\gamma_{i}$ belongs to the sublattice $A_{0}\left(A_{1}\right)$, then the point $\gamma_{i+1}$ belongs to the sublattice $A_{1}$
$\left(A_{0}\right)$. The pairs of elementary cubes corresponding to the pairs ( $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}$ ), $\left(\gamma_{3}, \gamma_{4}\right), \ldots,\left(\gamma_{2 n-1}, \gamma_{2 n}\right)$ form the sequence of dimers. These dimers belong to the configuration $D\left(A_{0}\right)$ and generate a closed path, which is a contradiction.

If $\Gamma$ does not entirely belong to the $N$-brick, its points belonging to the sublattice $A_{1}$ exceed in number those for the sublattice $A_{0}$. Then the part of $\Gamma$ lying inside of the $N$-brick cannot be formed by $D\left(A_{0}\right)$, which is also a contradiction.

Similarly, we prove the existence of vertex $b \in B_{1}$ with $\operatorname{deg} b=1$ in every $B_{1}$ subgraph.

Corollary. The graph $G$ contains at least one vertex $a \in A_{1}$ with $\operatorname{deg} a=1$ and one vertex $b \in B_{1}$ with $\operatorname{deg} b=1$.

Note that every dissection of the volume $V$ into dimers corresponds to a dissection of the graph $G$ into nonintersecting pairs of adjacent vertices. For the proof of Theorem 1 it is sufficient to find such a way of successive elimination of adjacent vertices and arcs from $G$ that after each step a remaining graph obeys the conditions of Theorem 1 . Indeed, then every remaining graph has at least two vertices $a$ and $b$ such that $a \in A_{1}, b \in B_{1}$, $\operatorname{deg} a=1, \operatorname{deg} b=1$, and we can take as the next pair the vertex $a$ together with its neighbor. At the end, there remains a unique pair of adjacent vertices $a_{i} \in A_{1}$, and $b_{i} \in B_{i}$ which corresponds to the last dimer.

Proof of Theorem 1. Let Lemma 1 be valid for the connected graph $G^{(n)}$ obtained from the graph $G$ by elimination of $n$ pairs of adjacent vertices (the generalization to the case of several connected components is straightforward). Consider an arbitrarily chosen vertex $a_{0}$ of the graph $G$ such that $a_{0} \in A_{1}, \operatorname{deg} a_{0}=1$ and a vertex $b_{0} \in B_{1}$ belonging to $G$ and adjacent to $a_{0}$. Let $\operatorname{deg} b_{0}>1$ (in case $\operatorname{deg} b_{0}=1 G^{(n)}$ is a pair of adjacent vertices $a_{0} b_{0}$ and dissection of the graph $G$ is completed).

Eliminate the pair $a_{0} b_{0}$ from $G^{(n)}$ together with arcs incident to them. We obtain a graph $G^{(n+1)}$. Every $B_{1}$ subgraph of $G^{(n+1)}$ contains at least one vertex $b \in B_{1}$ with $\operatorname{deg} b=1$ since such a vertex is in every $B_{1}$ subgraph of $G^{(n)}$ and elimination of the pair $a_{0} b_{0}$ does not reduce the number of vertices $b_{i}$ of the sublattice $B_{1}$ with $\operatorname{deg} b_{i}=1$.

Now we shall prove the existence of the vertex $a\left(a \in A_{1}, \operatorname{deg} a=1\right)$ in every $A_{1}$ subgraph of $G^{(n+1)}$. In the graph $G^{(n)} \operatorname{deg} b_{0}>1$, so besides $a_{0}$ there are not less than one (and not more than three) vertices of $A_{1}$ sublattice adjacent to $b_{0}$. Denote them by $a_{k}(1 \leqslant k \leqslant 3)$. Note that in the graph $G^{(n)} \operatorname{deg} a_{k}>1$ for all $a_{k}$. Indeed, in case $\operatorname{deg} a_{k}=1$ the $B_{1}$ subgraph (with vertices $a_{k}, b_{0}, a_{0}$ and arcs $a_{k} b_{0}, a_{0} b_{0}$ ) has to exist which has no vertices $b_{i} \in B_{1}$ with $\operatorname{deg} b_{i}=1$.

If in the graph $G^{(n)} \operatorname{deg} a_{k}=n_{k}$, then in the graph $G^{(n+1)} \operatorname{deg} a_{k}=$ $n_{k}-1$, so it is sufficient to consider the case $n_{k}>2(1 \leqslant k \leqslant 3)$. In this case one can consider every $A_{1}$ subgraph of $G^{(n+1)}$ as the $A_{1}$ subgraph of $G^{(n)}$ without arcs $a_{k} b_{0}(k=1,2,3)$. But every $A_{1}$ subgraph of $G^{(n)}$ has at least one vertex $a \in A_{1}$ with $\operatorname{deg} a=1$, therefore such a vertex is in every $A_{1}$ subgraph of $G^{(n+1)}$. The theorem is proved.

## 4. CALCULATION OF $\varphi_{t}$

In the previous section we have defined $\varphi_{l}$ as the number of dimer configurations of sort (i) which do not generate a single closed path on the sublattice $A_{0}$. Let us formulate in slightly different terms the problem necessary to solve for determining $\varphi_{l}$.

Let $\ell$ be a simple cubic lattice of $\mathfrak{R}$ sites. We introduce a new system of graphs. Denote by $\mathcal{G}$ the graph whose vertices are all sites of $\mathcal{Q}$. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be an oriented graph such that for every pair of vertices $s$ and $s^{\prime}$ joined by the edge $D_{s s^{\prime}}$ directed from $s$ to $s^{\prime}$ there is an edge $D_{s s^{\prime}}$ directed from $s^{\prime}$ to $s$. An oriented route is defined as a succession of oriented edges (arcs) such that the beginning of the next arc coincides with the end of the preceding one: $D_{i_{1} i_{2}} D_{i_{i_{i}}} \cdots D_{i_{k-1}-i_{k}}$. To shorten the expressions, we label all pairs ( $D_{s s^{\prime}} D_{s^{\prime} s}$ ) of oppositely oriented arcs and denote one of the arcs of pair $i$ (it is immaterial, which) by the symbol $D_{i}^{+}$and the other by $D_{i}^{-}$. Where confusion cannot arise, we shall omit the symbols $\pm$.

In an oriented route the beginning and the end may coincide and it is then said to be cyclic. Any circular permutation of edges of a cyclic route leads to the same route. An oriented route for which there exists a representation in the form ( $\left.D_{i_{1}} D_{i_{2}} \cdots D_{i_{k}}\right)^{m}$, where $m>1$ is integer, is called a periodic cyclic oriented route. Nonperiodic cyclic oriented routes will simply be called cycles. An elementary cycle is a cycle in which all the vertices are passed through once. We define an elementary subgraph $g \in \mathcal{G}$ as a graph consisting of one or a set of elementary cycles that do not have common vertices.

Now let the lattice $\mathcal{E}$ coincide with the sublattice $A_{0}$ so that $\mathscr{R}=N / 8$. In accordance with the above definition $\varphi_{l}$ is the number of all subgraphs $g_{l} \in \mathcal{G}$ which obey the following conditions: (a) $g_{l}$ contains all vertices of $\mathcal{E}$; (b) every vertex of $g_{l}$ has one arc leaving it; (c) $g_{l}$ does not contain single elementary cycles.

The idea of the solution is to enumerate all elementary subgraphs in such a way that each elementary cycle enters into the sum with the "minus" sign. Then, using the inclusion-exclusion combinatorial principle, we can enumerate all subgraphs that do not contain a single elementary cycle.

We introduce a weighted cycle. Ascribe to both arcs $D_{i}^{+}$and $D_{i}^{-}$the weight $w(i)$ and assume that $w(i)=Z_{k}$ if arc $i$ is oriented along the axis $e_{k}(k=1,2,3)$. Define the weight $W(p)$ of the cycle $p=D_{i_{1}}, D_{i_{2}}, \ldots, D_{i_{k}}$ as the product $(-1) w\left(i_{1}\right) w\left(i_{2}\right) \cdots w\left(i_{k}\right)$. Accordingly, the weight of a subgraph $g$ consisting of the elementary cycles $p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{n}$ is defined as the product

$$
x(g)=\prod_{i=1}^{n} W\left(p_{i}\right)
$$

We ascribe the weight 1 to the empty subgraph. In Ref. 7 the following theorem was proved:

Theorem 2. The product $\Pi_{p}[1+W(p)]$ over all possible cycles of the graph $\mathcal{G}$ is equal to the sum $\sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \chi(g)$ over all elementary subgraphs of $\mathcal{G}$, including the empty subgraph,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{p}[1+W(p)]=\sum_{g \in \varrho} \chi(g) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

To evaluate the right-hand side of (8) we shall use the periodic boundary conditions, i.e., we shall consider the lattice obtained by identifying and joining opposite faces of the original lattice. Equivalence of the two types of the boundary conditions was discussed in Ref. 5.

On the basis of (8), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\ln \sum_{g \in \mathcal{S}} \chi(g) & =\ln \prod_{p}[1+W(p)]=\ln \prod_{p}\{1-[-W(p)]\} \\
& =-\sum_{p}\left[\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{[-W(p)]^{j}}{j}\right] \\
& =-\mathscr{N _ { 1 } + N _ { 2 } + N _ { 3 } \geqslant 2} \sum_{1\left(N_{1}, N_{2}, N_{3}\right) Z_{1}^{N_{1} Z_{2}^{N_{2}} Z_{3}^{N_{3}}}}^{N_{1}+N_{2}+N_{3}} \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

where $S\left(N_{1}, N_{2}, N_{3}\right)$ is the number of all possible closed paths without restrictions to the periodicity which have $N_{k}$ arcs oriented along the axis $e_{k}$. The last sum in Eq. (9) is multiplied by $\mathfrak{T}$, since a closed path can begin at any site of the lattice $\mathfrak{l}$; the denominator $N_{1}+N_{2}+N_{3}$ in this sum has arisen because the closed path of length $N_{1}+N_{2}+N_{3}$ may have any of the sites contained in it as the first one.

Let $\beta\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{3} ; r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}\right)$ be the sum over all possible paths on the three-dimensional integer lattice $\mathcal{L}$ from the site with coordinates ( $0,0,0$ ) to the site with coordinates ( $m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{3}$ ), and every path contains $r_{k}$ steps along $e_{k}$. Let $\beta\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{3} ; 0,0,0\right)=\delta_{m_{1}} \delta_{m_{2} 0} \delta_{m_{3} 0}$. By definition $S\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}\right)$
$=\beta\left(0,0,0 ; r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}\right)$. The sum $\beta\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{3} ; r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}\right)$ satisfies the recursion relation

$$
\begin{align*}
\beta\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{3} ; r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}\right)= & \beta\left(m_{1}-1, m_{2}, m_{3} ; r_{1}-1, r_{2}, r_{3}\right) \\
& +\beta\left(m_{1}+1, m_{2}, m_{3} ; r_{1}-1, r_{2}, r_{3}\right) \\
& +\beta\left(m_{1}, m_{2}-1, m_{3} ; r_{1}, r_{2}-1, r_{3}\right) \\
& +\beta\left(m_{1}, m_{2}+1, m_{3} ; r_{1}, r_{2}-1, r_{3}\right) \\
& +\beta\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{3}-1 ; r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}-1\right) \\
& +\beta\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{3}+1 ; r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}-1\right) \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

We define the Fourier transform of $\beta\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{3} ; r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}\right)$ by the equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& B(\mathbf{a} ; \mathbf{r})=\frac{1}{\Re} \sum_{m_{1}=0}^{l_{1} / 2-1} \sum_{m_{2}=0}^{l_{2} / 2-1} \sum_{m_{3}=0}^{l_{3} / 2-1} \beta(\mathbf{m} ; \mathbf{r}) \exp \left[-2 \pi i\left(\sum_{j=1}^{3} \frac{2 a_{j} m_{j}}{l_{j}}\right)\right]  \tag{11}\\
& \beta(\mathbf{m} ; \mathbf{r})=\sum_{m_{1}=0}^{l_{1} / 2-1} \sum_{m_{2}=0}^{l_{2} / 2-1} \sum_{m_{3}=0}^{l_{3} / 2-1} B(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{r}) \exp \left[2 \pi i\left(\sum_{j=1}^{3} \frac{2 a_{j} m_{j}}{l_{j}}\right)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

Introduce the generating functions

$$
\begin{align*}
& F(\mathbf{a} ; \mathbf{z})=\sum_{r_{1} \geqslant 0} \sum_{r_{2} \geqslant 0} \sum_{r_{3} \geqslant 0} B(\mathbf{a} ; \mathbf{r}) Z_{1}^{r_{1}} Z_{2}^{r_{2}} Z_{3}^{r_{3}} \\
& f(\mathbf{m} ; \mathbf{z})=\sum_{r_{1} \geqslant 0} \sum_{r_{2} \geqslant 0} \sum_{r_{3} \geqslant 0} \beta(\mathbf{m} ; \mathbf{r}) Z_{1}^{r_{1}^{\prime} Z_{2}^{r} Z_{3}^{r_{3}}} \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

Then on the basis of (10)

$$
\begin{align*}
f\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{3} ; Z_{1}, Z_{2}, Z_{3}\right)= & \delta_{m_{1} 0_{m_{2} 0} \delta_{m_{3} 0}+Z_{1} f\left(m_{1}-1, m_{2}, m_{3} ; Z_{1}, Z_{2}, Z_{3}\right)} \\
& +Z_{1} f\left(m_{1}+1, m_{2}, m_{3} ; Z_{1}, Z_{2}, Z_{3}\right) \\
& +Z_{2} f\left(m_{1}, m_{2}-1, m_{3} ; Z_{1}, Z_{2}, Z_{3}\right) \\
& +Z_{2} f\left(m_{1}, m_{2}+1, m_{3} ; Z_{1}, Z_{2}, Z_{3}\right) \\
& +Z_{3} f\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{3}-1 ; Z_{1}, Z_{2}, Z_{3}\right) \\
& +Z_{3} f\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{3}+1 ; Z_{1}, Z_{2}, Z_{3}\right)  \tag{13}\\
F(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{z})=\frac{1}{\Re}+F(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{z})[ & Z_{1} \exp \left(-\frac{4 \pi i a_{1}}{l_{1}}\right)+Z_{1} \exp \left(\frac{4 \pi i a_{1}}{l_{1}}\right) \\
& +Z_{2} \exp \left(-\frac{4 \pi i a_{2}}{l_{2}}\right)+Z_{2} \exp \left(\frac{4 \pi i a_{2}}{l_{2}}\right) \\
& \left.+Z_{3} \exp \left(-\frac{4 \pi i a_{3}}{l_{3}}\right)+Z_{3} \exp \left(\frac{4 \pi i a_{3}}{l_{3}}\right)\right] \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

whence

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(\mathbf{a} ; \mathbf{z})=\frac{1 / \Re}{1-R(\mathbf{z})}=\frac{1}{\Re} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} R^{j}(\mathbf{z}) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(\mathbf{z})=2 \sum_{j=1}^{3} Z_{j} \cos \frac{4 \pi a_{j}}{l_{j}} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Eq. (15) in accordance with (12) we readily obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\substack{r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3} \geqslant 0 \\ r_{1}+r_{2}+r_{3} \geqslant 1}} \frac{B(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{r}) Z_{1}^{r_{1}} Z_{2}^{r_{2}} Z_{3}^{r_{3}}}{r_{1}+r_{2}+r_{3}}=\frac{1}{\Re} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{R^{j}(\mathbf{z})}{j}=\frac{1}{\Re} \ln [1-R(\mathbf{z})] \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

or, using the transformations (11)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{\substack{r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3} \geqslant 0 \\
r_{1}+r_{2}+r_{3} \geqslant 1}} \frac{\beta(\mathbf{m} ; \mathbf{r}) Z_{1}^{r_{1}} Z_{2}^{r_{2}} Z_{3}^{r_{3}}}{r_{1}+r_{2}+r_{3}} \\
& \quad=-\frac{1}{\Re} \sum_{a_{1}=0} \sum_{a_{2}=0} \sum_{a_{3}=0}^{l_{1} / 2-1} \exp \left\{2 \pi i\left(\sum_{j=1}^{3} \frac{m_{j} a_{j}}{l_{j}}\right) \ln [1-R(Z)]\right\} \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

For the case of interest to us of a walk returning to the original point, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\substack{r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3} \geqslant 0 \\ r_{1}+r_{2}+r_{3} \geqslant 1}} \frac{\beta(\mathbf{0} ; \mathbf{r}) Z_{1}^{r_{1}} Z_{2}^{r_{2}} Z_{3}^{r_{3}}}{r_{1}+r_{2}+r_{3}}=-\frac{1}{\mathscr{\pi}} \sum_{a_{1}=0}^{l_{1} / 2-1} \sum_{a_{2}=0} \sum_{a_{3}=0}^{l_{2} / 2-1} \ln [1-R(\mathbf{z})] \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking into account Eq. (9) and the definition of $S\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}\right)$ we obtain for the sum over all weighted elementary subgraphs $g \in G$ the expression

$$
\begin{align*}
\ln \sum_{g \in G} \chi(g) & =\Re \sum_{r_{1}+r_{2}+r_{3} \geqslant 0} \frac{S\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}\right) Z_{1}^{r_{1} Z_{2}^{r_{2}} Z_{3}^{r_{3}}}}{r_{1}+r_{2}+r_{3}} \\
& =\sum_{a_{1}=0}^{l_{1} / 2-1} \sum_{a_{2}=0} \sum_{a_{3}=0} \sum_{a_{3}=0} \ln [1-R(\mathbf{z})] \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

Consider all subgraphs of $\mathcal{G}$ satisfying the conditions (a) and (b) mentioned above. The total number of such subgraphs containing $r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}$ arcs oriented along $e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}$ is equal to the coefficient of $Z_{1}^{r_{1}} Z_{2}^{r_{2}} Z_{3}^{r_{3}}$ in the expansion of the generating function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi\left(Z_{1}, Z_{2}, Z_{3}\right)=\left(2 Z_{1}+2 Z_{2}+2 Z_{3}\right)^{9} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

To determine $\varphi_{l}$, it is necessary to eliminate from this set the subgraphs containing at least one elementary cycle. Using Eq. (20) we can find an expression for the sum $N\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}\right)$ of subgraphs that completely consist of cycles. These subgraphs contain $r_{k}$ arcs oriented along $e_{k}$ and each subgraph enters into $N\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}\right)$ with factor $(-1)^{m}$, where $m$ is the number of elementary cycles in the given graphs. We write Eq. (20) in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \chi(g)=\prod_{a_{1}=0}^{l_{1} / 2-1} \prod_{a_{2}=0} \prod_{a_{3}=0}^{l_{2} / 2-1}\left[1+\sum_{j=1}^{3}\left(Z_{j} e^{\alpha_{j} / 2-1}+Z_{j} e^{-\alpha_{j}}\right)\right] \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha_{j}=4 \pi i \alpha_{j} / l_{j} ;(1 \leqslant j \leqslant 3)$. It follows from the definition of $\chi(g)$ that the sum $N\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}\right)$ is equal to the coefficient of $Z_{1}^{r_{1}} Z_{2}^{r_{2}} Z_{3}^{r_{3}}$ in the expansion of the right-hand side of Eq. (22).

Combine (21) and (22) into the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{a_{1}=0}^{l_{1} / 2-1} \prod_{a_{2}=0}^{l_{2} / 2-1} \prod_{a_{3}=0}^{l_{3} / 2-1} \sum_{j=1}^{j}\left(2 \tilde{Z}_{j}+Z_{j} e^{\alpha_{j}}+Z_{j} e^{-\alpha_{j}}\right) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

and consider the coefficient of the term $\left(\tilde{Z}_{1}^{r_{1}^{\prime}} \tilde{Z}_{2}^{r_{2}^{\prime}} \tilde{Z}_{3}^{r_{3}^{\prime}}\right) \times\left(Z_{1}^{r_{1}} Z_{2}^{r_{2}} Z_{3}^{r_{3}}\right)$ where $r_{1}+r_{1}^{\prime}=R_{1}, r_{2}+r_{2}^{\prime}=R_{2}, r_{3}+r_{3}^{\prime}=R_{3}, R_{1}+R_{2}+R_{3}=\vartheta$. This coefficient is equal to the sum $N\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3} \mid r_{1}^{\prime}, r_{2}^{\prime}, r_{3}^{\prime}\right)$ over all graphs in which $r_{1}+r_{2}+r_{3}$ arcs are combined into cycles, each of them entering into the sum with the factor ( -1 ), and the remaining $r_{1}+r_{2}^{\prime}+r_{3}^{\prime}$ arcs are arranged arbitrarily. Then the coefficient of $Z_{1}^{R_{1}} Z_{2}^{R_{2}} Z_{3}^{R_{3}}$ in the expansion of the expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{a_{1}=0}^{l_{1} / 2-1} \prod_{a_{2}=0}^{1 l_{2} / 2-1} \prod_{a_{3}=0}^{l_{3} / 2-1} \sum_{j=1}^{3}\left(2 Z_{j}+Z_{j} e^{\alpha_{j}}+Z_{j} e^{-\alpha_{j}}\right) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

is equal to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{r_{1} \geqslant 0}^{R_{1}} \sum_{r_{2} \geqslant 0}^{R_{2}} \sum_{r_{3} \geqslant 0}^{R_{3}} N\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3} \mid R_{1}-r_{1}, R_{2}-r_{2}, R_{3}-r_{3}\right) \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now have the necessary material that enables us, using the inclusionexclusion principle, to obtain an expression for $\varphi_{l}$. Suppose there are $N$ elements and a certain number of properties $p(1), p(2), \ldots, p(n)$. Suppose further that $N_{i}$ is the number of elements with property $P(i)$ and, generally, $N_{i_{1} i_{2} \ldots i_{r}}$ is the number of elements with properties $p\left(i_{1}\right), p\left(i_{2}\right), \ldots, p\left(i_{r}\right)$. Then the number of elements $N(0)$ that have none of these properties is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
N(0)= & N-\sum_{i} N_{i}+\sum_{i_{1}<i_{2}} N_{i_{1} i_{2}}-\cdots+(-1)^{s} \sum_{i_{1}<i_{2}<\cdots<i_{s}} N_{i_{1} i_{2} \cdots i_{s}} \\
& +\cdots+(-1)^{n} N_{12 \cdots n} \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

To solve the problem, we label by $1,2, \ldots, n$ all possible elementary cycles on the lattice under consideration. Consider subgraphs $\mathscr{G}_{R_{1} R_{2} R_{3}} \in \mathcal{G}$ such that from every point of the lattice $\mathcal{E}$ one arc emanates, the number of arcs oriented along $e_{i}$ is $R_{i}\left(R_{1}+R_{2}+R_{3}=\Re\right)$. We shall assume that $\mathcal{G}_{R_{1} R_{2} R_{3}}$ has the property $p(i)$ if the elementary cycle $i$ is its subgraph. Let $N_{i, i_{2}} \ldots i_{r}$ be the number of graphs $\mathcal{G}_{R_{1} R_{2} R_{3}}$ whose subgraphs are the cycles $i_{1}$, $i_{2}, \ldots, i_{r}$. Then the total number of graphs $\mathcal{G}_{R_{1} R_{2} R_{3}}$ not containing any cycle is determined by the right-hand side of Eq. (26). But in accordance with the definition the sum (25) is exactly equal to the right-hand side of (26) since it contains all terms $N_{i_{1} i_{2} \ldots i_{r}}$ with the correct signs.

Therefore the partition function for the subgraphs $\mathcal{G}_{R_{1} R_{2} R_{3}}$ not containing any elementary cycle is

$$
\begin{align*}
\varphi_{l}\left(Z_{1}, Z_{2}, Z_{3}\right)= & \sum_{R_{1} \geqslant 0} \sum_{R_{2} \geqslant 0} \sum_{R_{3} \geqslant 0} Z_{1}^{R_{1}} Z_{2}^{R_{2}} Z_{3}^{R_{3}} \\
& \times \sum_{r_{1} \geqslant 0} \sum_{r_{2} \geqslant 0} \sum_{r_{3} \geqslant 0} N\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3} \mid R_{1}-r_{1}, R_{2}-r_{2}, R_{3}-r_{3}\right) \\
= & \prod_{a_{1}=0}^{l_{1} / 2-1} \prod_{a_{2}=0}^{l_{2} / 2-1} \prod_{a_{3}=0}^{l_{3} / 2-1} \sum_{j=1}^{3}\left(2 Z_{j}+2 Z_{j} \cos \frac{4 \pi a_{j}}{l_{j}}\right) \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

The required quantity $\varphi_{l}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{l}=\varphi_{l}(1,1,1)=\exp \left[\sum_{a_{1}=0}^{l_{1} / 2-1} \sum_{a_{2}=0} \sum_{a_{3}=0}^{l_{2} / 2-1} \ln \left(4 \sum_{j=1}^{l_{3} / 2-1} \sin ^{2} \frac{2 \pi a_{j}}{l_{j}}\right)\right] \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

when $l_{1}, l_{2}, l_{3} \rightarrow \infty$. The right-hand side of (28) tends to the triple integral, and using Eqs. (6) and (7) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda^{*}=\lim _{l_{i} \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \ln \varphi_{l}^{2}=\frac{1}{4 \pi^{3}} \int_{0}^{\pi} d \theta_{1} \int_{0}^{\pi} d \theta_{2} \int_{0}^{\pi} d \theta_{3} \ln \left(4 \sum_{j=1}^{3} \sin ^{2} \theta_{j}\right) \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, the three-dimensional dimer problem becomes solvable if we add to the standard dimer-problem requirements the additional one that there be no elementary cycles on the sublattices of the original lattice.

The comparison of the value $\lambda^{*}=0.418$ with the series-expansion estimate $\lambda=0.446^{(8)}$ shows that the contribution to $\lambda$ from the forbidden configuration is relatively small. In the next paper a part of this contribution will be taken into account to improve the lower bound for the three-dimensional dimer problem.
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